

The End of Techno Crime Fighters Forum: The Real Backstory

-Ramola D/Posted

8/14/2018

The need for this written statement of course arises from the fact that Katherine (Dr. Katherine Horton) --with whom Karen (NSA whistleblower Karen Melton-Stewart), Millicent (Dr. Millicent Black) and I have worked for over a year on the **weekly Techno Crime Fighters Forum** podcast set up by Dr. Paul Marko and Mindy Urken and on JIT, the Joint Investigation Team set up by Katherine --has recently made a massively defamatory video pretty much slamming me to death and putting out an incredible amount of misframing, misdirection, lies, deceit, and slander about how and why Techno has closed, and what really occurred in the last week prior to its close.

Issues Over the Past Year & the Primary Issue

The real backstory—which Katherine has not touched, being too involved in image damage control apparently while regrettably focusing on projecting a false sense of innocence and being-wronged-ness--is that numerous frustrating issues had been brewing in the background for quite some time, issues in particular related to Katherine but also issues related to how increasingly unfocused and unplanned Techno had become, how much time it took up on Thursdays, how delayed it often was, as well as how dominated it often was by Katherine on-air, often extended toward the end by her sharing screens and expounding interminably when it was time to wrap up.

Language of Violence Quite Separate from Talk of Death Penalty

One of the larger issues concerning all 3 of us—all through the past year--was her use of a highly unsettling language of violence that had for a long time put us and many reporting viewers—including prominent government whistleblowers and TI (“Targeted Individual”) activists--on edge: the habit she had fallen into of calling for bullets through brains, or putting people in crosshairs, or suggesting people take shotguns into places of work (the NSA, to be precise) and blow brains off, or calling for the military to take people out, people in fact that were named, often titular heads of Intel – these references to violence and occasional calls to violence, however theoretical, or rhetorical, all offered variously in jest, indignation, or to mere dramatic effect.

This, I should clarify, and underline, was quite separate and different from the death penalty she advocated and Karen supported, as a just and legal means of dealing with Deep State Intel and military crooks who are currently openly torturing millions in their own homes with “Surveillance” or Military electromagnetic and acoustic weapons, and calling reporting victims delusional and schizoid when they report it—supported by the Propaganda & Deceit Brigade of Mainstream Media, as well as the Deceit & Authoritarianism of Collusive Psychiatry. While Millicent and I do not support the death penalty and have said so, Karen and Katherine do support the death penalty and have said so. No-one was censored for a difference in opinion;

we have each freely aired our views on this subject, and none of us stopped the other from airing her views.

To reiterate, this was *not* about the death penalty; this was talk of violence quite separate from that. Regular viewers will understand what I refer to here; others only have to go back and check our Techno videos or her own videos made at her channel which echo the same theme.

Furthermore, there were occasions when the death penalty or the emphasis on administrative address of crime was brandished by her as an add-on or tag -on to a blasé rendition of Off-with - their-heads! which was all very humorous no doubt at first but hardly convincing as an innate appeal to legal redress alone, and increasingly distressing as yet another incident of talk we found discomfiting.

Reasons for Our Discomfort with Talk of Violence

Fascist, Corrupt, Over-Reaching, Exploitative Fusion Centers & Bogus Laws: The reason for our discomfort with such talk should partially be obvious: in the ridiculous atmosphere of totalitarianism we are currently living in, all over the world, but markedly and indisputably in the USA and in Europe, where we live, people making mention of violence are glibly seen to be putative terrorists or violent extremists by the very over-reaching and exploitative Intel and fusion centers who are in fact engaging in violence themselves upon the citizenry with Stealth weapons, which makes them Plausibly Deniable, and yes, they're denying it, and continuing to commit massive crimes against humanity, we *know* that; that has been the subject of my investigative journalism for four years now. The Global War on Terror is in our American and European and Australian neighborhoods, and it practises Deception with a capital D. The programs of Countering Violent Extremism and Community Policing and Infragard are all trawling the streets and Net, eager to snag anyone and everyone for pretty much anything, and stick a label of Under Investigation on their backs so they can run around defaming innocent people as pedophiles, drug traffickers, and prostitutes as well as “Violent Extremists” or “Terrorists” in their neighborhoods, sell them into fiscally-rewarding incredibly treasonous and immoral DOD/USAF/Navy contracts engaging in barbaric, inhumane, terminal, Death-Dealing neuro and bio-behavioral experimentation with lethal military weapons criminally named “non-lethal,” and rationalize and deny every aspect of what they do by keeping it secret.

Parallel Construction Corruption & Framing by Deep State Criminals: As well: The FBI we know engages in Parallel Construction; as FBI whistleblower Geral Sosbee has explained, much corruption is achieved via corrupt FMJs—federal magistrate judges—and FISA court judges, with hundreds of thousands of National Security Letters being issued on innocent Americans who make the unfortunate mistake of whistleblowing about corruption, speaking out about anything meaningful, engaging in human rights or animal rights or eco activism, or acting with integrity in any sphere, in this Gladio/Phoenix/Op Chaos/Cointelpro atmosphere where Crime, Corruption, and Criminality are well-ensconced in both the highest and lowest echelons of our multi-national corporatist governments, federally and locally, currently, and constitute the New Yardstick to Live By, in this ZioNaziSatanic New World

Dysorder rolled out on the globe.

Energetic Aspect to Talk of Death and Violence: That (above-addressed reality) is the backdrop to our public conversations on the Techno Crime Fighter Forums; that is the deception we most definitely sought to challenge and expose. That awareness of deceiving Surveillance all around us however, with awareness of Fusion Center intent to hone in on talk of violence among human rights activists, was not our only objection to talk of violence; Millicent and I in particular objected to the negative word-energy and image-energy of death and violence, which had come to invade the show: in conjunction with the random references to violence, death of some kind appeared to intrude on every show, whether in one of Katherine's analyses of cartel signalling or one of her narrations of family members' or others' deaths and funerals.

There is an energetic aspect to the Universe that conventional science ignores but which the new understandings of quantum physics and Consciousness science explores; the notion of the morphogenetic field or unifold field in which we all live and variously thrive or barely survive yet inevitably affect and influence with our words, intentions, and actions (and which the 0.1% Controller faction work night and day to keep in their control, by pumping hate, fear, anger, and discord through war, apartheid, occupation, terrorism, false flags, mass shootings, fake protest movements, created migrants, destruction of families, propaganda, targeting, weapons-testing, torture, child abuse, ritual sacrifice, etc.) is one some of us are aware of, that backgrounds our disinterest in constant focus on death and violence.

I must clarify, I am speaking about *repeated focus on death, not necessary and essential reference*. I am not at all saying or implying that we had strictures in place or needed them, excessively, to censor any of us on Techno from speaking about death in itself; unfortunately, these dark and barbaric programs of non-consensual human neuro-experimentation and GOG's NEW GESTAPO assault of citizens with "dual-use" "non-lethal" "anti-personnel" technologies that we are reporting, investigating, and demanding human rights attention for from the larger public do result in the Fusion Center/Military-created death of targets, whether via directed-energy-weapon attack, inducing of terminal disease such as sudden cancer, stroke, blood clots, or via driving people through extremely abusive DEW and V2K/Neuro-Invasion attacks to suicide.

Programming Aspect to Talk or Images of Death & Violence: Death in itself as subject therefore was not the issue, but the repeated focus on death and violence; Millicent has discussed with me the (unintended-by-us but pulled off by Katherine) effect of this repeated focus and theme functioning also as a sort of programming of the younger viewing audience especially, to acclimate them to images of death and violence, much in the style of what Hollywood does.

We Are Human Rights Activists Reporting State-Sponsored & State-Run Violence: Another underlying objection to talk of extra-judicial violence we three shared was: As human rights advocates speaking out against and openly reporting to the wider, MSM-misled public the violence being done to us, as wrongful targets of Surveillance by an

utterly-out-of-control and despotic, Globalist Deep State--I repeat, the violence of Stealth Weapon usage on human beings is most definitely State-Sponsored and State-Run Violence, Assault, Battery, Torture, and Terrorism, in all legal definitions of those terms, despite the atrocity of the cover of Dual-Use/Non-Lethal/Anti-Personnel/Electronic Surveillance Technologies they are hiding under-- we do not think it is in any way right to project an interest in violence--especially since *we do not have such an interest, at all.*

Violence Cannot Address State Surveillance Violence & Military

Experimentation Violence: Defending oneself legally and legitimately against wrongful violence is no doubt another aspect to socially acceptable and Constitutionally-protected responses to violence; that is another story and not one we have covered in depth on our show. As socially-minded women warriors for truth and justice exposing targeting and surveillance and military crimes, we are not and never have been a group advocating violence to address the violence of Surveillance Abuse, the violence of State-Sponsored Terrorism, and the violence of Non-Consensual Neuro-Experimentation; Millicent especially has taken care to spell this out on our shows. As public speakers engaged in truth-telling and public exposure, we are believers in Martin Luther King's brilliant understanding of the only possible response to violence to end violence: *Returning violence for violence multiplies violence, adding deeper darkness to a night already devoid of stars. Darkness cannot drive out darkness: Only light can do that.*

Attempting to Address the Primary Issue of Talk of Violence

For all these reasons we had tried to bring up in particular this issue of talk of violence and images of death and violence with Katherine on more than one occasion over the past year, and had sought video meetings with her to discuss these openly, several times after broadcasts when these had occurred. Interestingly, Katherine's availability always plummeted when these issues were mentioned; she simply vanished at will, staying off Skype, refusing to answer emails and refusing to respond to our concerns; this actually happened several times. She would also avoid showing up a little early for the show when we could do a pre-show check-in and discussion, but often signed in just as the show was about to start or after it had started. Occasionally she tangentially issued excuses about being excessively attacked, at just these times. As a result, our very real concerns with her on this primary issue was shelved, week after week.

Indeed, this was her customary response to open address of any kind of issue. As an example, there was the recent incident of a tweet she had posted, I think to World Bank whistleblower Karen Hudes, stating she was the leader of a team of investigators, and referring to us as her team, whom she "led," which I wrote at length to her about; contrary to public projections, none of us on the Joint Investigation team had ever agreed to call her Dear Leader, nor had we ever considered ourselves her private team; each of us, I believe, is a powerful human rights activist in our own right, engaging in high-caliber research, reportage, public address, and human rights reportage, we each have our separate identities as Intelligence analysts, pastors, founders of international human rights groups, and writers, and our working together has always been a matter of free will and friendly collaboration. Katherine ignored my and Millicent's emails and requests for a meeting on this issue for two months, engaged in the same

avoidance and delayed-arrival-on-show strategy described above, and eventually made remarks to me in a private Skype conversation about how she had not intended to project leadership exactly, etc., which did not seem convincing, but which I let go of, in the interests of friendship and collegiality, especially since it was months after the fact.

This strategy was in evidence right up to the last week preceding the close of Techno Crime Fighters Forum, when Katherine once again made remarks about people like Gina Haspel and James Giordano needing to be “taken out,” which I actively countered live on the show and which many people commented to me about later as being wrongful and problematic, and about making Katherine a liability on the show, to the show, and to our individual professional profile as human rights activists, as well as to myself as a journalist.

In our last historic email exchange, Millicent wrote: “Talk of us doing ANY violence or promoting violence by anyone other than law enforcement and then only when warranted, should never be said of us. If they can go back to any Techno episodes and prove it was done, or at least that's the way it sounded, we in America can be charged with conspiracy to commit acts of terrorism OR inciting to riot. Not to mention that State law says I have a duty as a mental health professional and a pastor to report such talk. Because of that, I cannot be part of any televised media where such talk is even jokingly suggested. We might be held accountable for the actions of others. It IS NOT alright to make such statements then say, O I WAS JOKING. A jury would not care.”

It must be noted that we have over the past year tried to both verbally address and sent emails to Katherine repeatedly to convey the advisability of curtailing references to violence, whether made in jest or in dramatic emphasis, in addition to respectfully requesting that she curtail or cut back on the swearing (discussed further below), but she has repeatedly argued on and on about the swearing and refused to stop either the swearing or the references to extra-judicial or non-judicial violence—which, via avoidance, she mostly refused to acknowledge.

Secondary Issue of Swearing & Profanity & Attempt to Address

Millicent in particular has conveyed to us the comments of many viewers, over this past year (comments we also heard independently on our own social media and contact lists) regarding Katherine's free and forceful use of swearing on the show, which has been a source of distress to her, as a Christian pastor who is also reporting and experiencing ongoing V2K abuse which includes abusive cursing and swearing daily, and which she repeatedly cautioned Katherine against.

This was an issue of some concern to us also by reason of the professionalism factor; I don't think I need to explain that a general rule of thumb in any kind of professional circle, including the arena of media and public address, where we have been playing, is to curtail any excessive swearing, and not exactly go around dropping the F bomb a zillion times.

I personally am not averse to swearing nor is any other American I know, but Katherine pulled a fabrication about the “prudery” of Americans out of thin air and inevitably cast our discontent with the nonstop cussin' n' swearin' as prudish American behavior—while

Europeans, apparently, she was trying to have her world audience believe, were a supremely savvy lot given to the healthy release of expletives night and day. And appearing perfectly polished and powerful besides, to the extent that all their public forums are sizzling with this sort of stuff, and no-one bats an eyelid.

Group & Community Concerns Disrespected

The fact of the matter is, the conveyance of group and community concerns to Katherine made not a dent in her succeeding comportment on the show; she brushed us off like fleas and marched right on ahead, not paying any attention to the information we were trying to have her look at: to wit, that there was a serious amount of community and therefore also group concern about the cursing and violent-talk both, and that we would appreciate a cutting back here.

This essentially was a disrespecting and dismissal of the group; whatever anyone watching us may think of these issues, whatever anybody's opinions may be of these issues, this was a group concern to our little group, and connected to a larger community concern, and it was not recognized by Katherine as especially important or necessary to address.

I do not mean to suggest, by the way, that everyone in the world watching us had these same concerns; there is no doubt flash and glamor to dropping the F bomb publicly to a certain, especially young and male crowd (Katherine has mentioned view count increasing with greater incidence of swearing), and all those hundreds of thousands of spineless men and women out there—especially inside the Intelligence agencies, pulling a fat paycheck while watching the ZioNazis run Terror Programs of Silent Targeting and Assault with Anti-Personnel Neuroweapons on innocent and accomplished citizens, under their very noses, worldwide --who will not themselves stand up and oppose this Nasty Global Terrorism but don't mind cheering on a young woman posture and grandstand about blowing people's brains out no doubt think this makes for great visual copy and “expresses their own anger” and is going to save us all shortly, just by virtue of its effrontery and audacity. I am aware this faction exists, and that they will worship at the feet of anyone who seems to speak boldly for them, while not bothering to stand up and speak boldly for themselves, and while censoring and protesting and seeking to shape the rest of us who do.

This was merely an issue of a substantively-backed group request, which was not respected, and became the source of extended frustration over this past year, along with a host of other issues related to show focus, planning, duration, and domination. This too is a fact to be kept in mind: there were several ongoing and underlying issues.

Request for Curtailment Is Not Censorship of Style, Personality, Dynamism, Voice

Contrary to what Katherine suggested on her Slam and Smear video, none of us ever at any time sought to censor her style of delivery, her voice, her personality, her dynamism, or her mode of expression.

All we asked and requested repeatedly was a cutback or curtailment of the swearing and a termination of all talk that involved or could be construed as an extra-judicial call to violence. We appreciated her energy and voice and her particular way of putting things.

On many of our shows, when it was mostly myself and her “working the floor,” we worked together rather synergistically, I thought, able to speak publicly and candidly about all the various aspects of this Targeting, Surveillance, Weapons-Testing, and Experimentation Crime we and millions are reporting—not compromising either of our very distinctive personalities, nor clashing, nor curtailing, nor censoring, nor self-censoring.

This was absolutely not about censorship of anyone's style, it was about trying to work amicably together as a group and honor and respect each of our individual thoughts and concerns.

There was However an Issue of Domination

Viewers have noticed this, all of us on the set felt it, and we tried to address this a few times. Katherine and I most especially have stood out, I am aware, for being the ones quick to interrupt with a question or comment or interjectory analysis, I know I do this too. We have ended up acquiring and holding the floor a little more I have thought was fair, and have tried to openly address this; we did not wish to drown out Karen's or Millicent's very important voices. Katherine and I recently vowed to be more inclusive in terms of time-sharing so Millicent and Karen could share their thoughts more equitably—and made an attempt to honor this consciously.

However I don't think I have to really point out that Katherine often dominated the show and especially embarked on long projects of analysis with shared screens and cartel-signal-analyzing when it was time to close, a repeating issue; she often also gave longer lectures.

Domination of time was coupled with attitudes of dominance and establishing of authority; many viewers have remarked on this, for literally the entire year and a half that Techno has run. Being on the inside, and being mature, well-adjusted people, we worked with this situation always cordially and amicably, but this, coupled with Katherine's avoidance strategies in dealing with issues, and disinterest in heeding our or the larger community's opinions, led to ongoing impasse.

In one of her email responses, Millicent wrote: “I'm for bi-weekly or monthly. It's always better and less stressful for me to be able to be prepared for the show. I do have more to say than I'm allowed to say.”

Issues of domination never work well in groups; they inevitably ask to be addressed, they brew discontent, they never stay subterranean, they always surface—again, I don't think I need to elaborate on this; it is basic understanding from any psychology of groups—particularly collegiate, peer-to-peer, non-pyramid groups. (This subject is revisited below.)

More Concerning: A Very Serious Issue Behind the Scenes

There is also a very concerning issue I have not addressed publicly before—but which Katherine herself has now made public—after my mention of it to her in my final email to her— which I will discuss further down in this statement.

Suffice to note here that a week ago, just prior to Katherine issuing her defamatory video on me, I had emailed a small group of prominent activists sharing these private concerns—which were not new, which I had indeed aired earlier with Melanie Vritschan and Millicent and Karen a few months ago. They have to do with extremely disturbing non-verbal cues and signaling I had been receiving over months from Katherine on our private Skype-calls, which I describe as echo-stalking: the exact mirroring of habitual hand-gestures (such as the particular way of moving hair out of my eyes or smoothing hair behind my ears), exact body movements, exact physical actions on calls, habitual words and phrases I used, colors I wore, colors of coffee mugs.

I also wish to mention that my observation distinctly also was that she was engaging in these actions in a studiedly surreptitious way but consciously, and self-consciously, not without awareness, in fact, in echo of her own analyses of Cheshire Cat glee, with a look of complacency and glee on her face; I am aware from research that Neural Influence Technologies and nasty Surveillance-arranged-fake-synchronicities are indeed being used by the supercilious DOD/CIA Project Minerva Social Engineers to manipulate people and groups with all manner of Psy Ops and Meme Creation, that unconscious echoing is being manufactured. But this did not look like unconscious echoing to me, it looked like conscious and deliberate and purposive echoing.

Such echoing is commonly seen from the COINTELPRO Gangstalker Brigade and the Psy Op Warriors to gaslight, psy op, harass, mock, and disrespect targets—almost every TI is aware of this bizarre Stasi phenomenon. I also noticed she appeared to be screen-reading while talking with me, and recording me while I spoke informally with her—Melanie has reported a similar sense of witnessing the screen-reading, which she says in her case Katherine explained as reading emails that flashed across her screen; in my case, it appeared rather as if she were reading continuous text, and she once laughed inappropriately during our conversation, then attributed this rather lamely, I thought, to some image conjured up in her mind, which did not make sense at the time. Melanie also noted that Katherine had once told her she records everything. (I certainly had not given her my permission for her to record my private conversations with her, and trusted her so implicitly back then I would not have even imagined she would do that without asking.)

To see all this from Katherine was a rather major and extreme shock, and I could barely believe my eyes when it first began happening, or rather when I first began to notice it, around the time—shortly after the incident at Erasme Hospital, I think—when Melanie's baby was wrongfully removed from her by hospital staff after Katherine spoke to a midwife. I did not speak of it until a few months later when this echoing kept recurring, intending to observe further privately first. I spoke of it finally to Melanie first, Millicent second, then Karen. In all cases, I let them know I had started to wonder about Katherine's authenticity as a targeted

victim and activist, but that I would continue to keep tabs on this and observe further, before I came to any conclusions. I also related other odd anomalous cues I had received from her, such as for instance a sense I had had when I first met her of being deliberately interrogated—as opposed to simply being conversed with, on our earliest Skype conversations when we were getting to know one another as activists working in the same space. I wondered if I was being personality-profiled—as the DOD/CIA is indeed currently engaged in doing, on various projects, targeting “influencers” and prominent activists for this invasive and presumptuous attack on human sovereignty.

I would like to stress here therefore that other prominent activists have been aware of this major concern of mine for months—that I was increasingly being given cues that Katherine was indeed echo-stalking me, personality-profiling me, recording me, and working on-screen with a team while I believed she and I were having private conversations, which really means Katherine is not who she says she is, purely an Intelligence agency victim and activist, but an Intelligence agency or military infiltrator, working at the highest levels of TI activism, with subterranean intent to disrupt, misdirect, and derail the movement. Or/and collect data for feeding into negative AI systems of control of all humanity being planned and put in place by negative factions in the DOD/CIA.

Please be aware of my excessive caution prior to publicizing this information: I strove to stay vigilant and continue working with her while I continued observing her over months, in order to determine whether she was indeed doing this or whether I was extrapolating and projecting and becoming over-vigilant to a point of paranoia and imagining things. These echos continued. Further, through private conversations currently, I have learned I am not the only one noticing these activities; other targeted activists have relayed to me that they have observed her use of echo-words, phrases, and experiences from their own targeted-experience expressed verbally on videos or in her Twitter feed. In my own case, I have noticed she has left some evidence in words, phrases, images shared on Twitter and on Techno and on emails.

The day after I wrote privately to a small group of prominent activists describing my concerns—shared months ago with others—Katherine sat down and did her Defamation Video, also mentioning and denying this echo-stalking. That day, she also blocked me on Twitter.

I will return to this issue shortly, further down in this epic.

This Didn't Just Blow Up That Last Week of July

Quite separate from the subterranean signaling and its implications, which I had not sought to publicize for months, was all that was happening in full public view—and all that I was fully making and keeping public, including the shared email thread that last week of July on which none of us four on the Techno team was excluded.

Katherine has fabricated and presented on her video an elaborate story of how all of the issues on Techno just blew up that last week of July, after our last episode, Episode 71 was live-streamed on July 26, 2018, and stated several times that it was I who blew up Techno, making a “unilateral decision” to end Techno.

This is so false it is ludicrous. It is blatantly misleading and an outright and deceitful lie to state that this blew up just that last week, when the major public issues eroding Techno had been brewing for months and months, and had simply not been addressed, and even when addressed, led to us going around in circles since Katherine simply had different interests regarding swearing, and refused to acknowledge there was an issue with her talk of non-judicial violence.

What was really occurring, in my assessment, is a slow ongoing subterranean erosion of Techno. I was not the only one to feel it, Millicent did too, and several times tried to drop out—she had many separate issues with Katherine not being responsive to her, including one very large issue, that of impulsively revealing her primary persecutor/perpetrator's name on air, in open contravention of her request for privacy on that score (more on this below). We separately had ideas to energize Techno, including having regular guests, but these were not carried out. The focus from Katherine seemed to be long-drawn-out affidavit collection template creation which became increasingly expanded—supposedly to include victims of every crime on the planet including child abuse, SRA, and more; eventually she appeared to respond to our suggestions to streamline and focus and worked on a multiple-choice-style template, but which in itself went on for 100 pages—I found all of this difficult, but she did not include us in this project except to review and offer suggestions if we had any, and started to refer to it as her “baby.” (More about a real baby later.) We seemed to have fallen into a rut and it also appeared we were being pulled in a direction through tactics of domination that none of us felt comfortable with.

And no, as to that other lie and false accusation: I made no “unilateral” decisions here, and took no drastic action, of the kind she is stating I have; everything that has happened over that last week is recorded in email and can be verified. Nor did I “singlehandedly blow up Techno” as she has confabulated on her Smear & Slander video, this is not merely a false representation of events, it is an absurd and deceitful lie.

What Did Happen That Last Week of July

What did happen two weeks ago is that, after weeks of private consideration given the nature of the issues and impasse we were facing on Techno, and my own interest in other projects and campaigns, I sent around an email to the group explaining that I was becoming too overloaded with work as well as needed to start other projects and so needed to withdraw from a weekly play of Techno, but that an alternative I could envision was running the show once every 2-3 weeks or once a month. Karen responded that monthly would work for her since her time was being taken up by other pressing issues as well; Millicent said she was open to either biweekly or monthly. However Katherine, completely ignoring my suggestion that we move away from the weekly show, offered immediately to host the show at her channel weekly, and stated that weekly shows were very necessary because much was happening; she also said she would run the show weekly and the three of us could join as we wished.

Although it seemed odd that all of us were now being seen as optional participants on a show that she insisted (unilaterally, I might add) must continue to run weekly, given that with

Katherine we were sitting on an unaddressed pile of issues particularly the most important one centered on her casual talk of non-judicial violence, I responded to clarify I was not relinquishing the hosting of the show, I was hoping we could talk about moving away from the weekly show format. I also encouraged her to run her own show weekly if she wished—with a different name—at her own channel.

The ensuing back and forth of emails had Katherine insisting on a weekly show and explaining in different ways why this was important and me explaining that we had a whole pile of unaddressed issues here and were reluctant to entrust the hosting to her in our absence, particularly given the outstanding issue of the violent-talk; I was not the only one to feel this situation was unwelcome; Karen and Millicent provided their opinion, similar to mine, as well. None of us wanted Techno to be moved to her channel, to be hosted weekly, when certain basic issues were not addressed; we had and have no wish to be associated with wild-card talk of non-judicial violence of any kind, and we could not trust her to refrain from such talk in our possible absence.

Katherine informed me she was outraged at this attitude and found my emails patronizing, then I spelled out what I was outraged by, which she responded to, and so on. This became an unraveling of the issues, except, I should point out, Katherine on her own began to list the issues as she saw them, putting Swearing—which I had not mentioned—at the top of the list and presenting her usual ideas about that. She flatly denied she had ever made any kind of other reference to violence in any of our videos against anyone other than those made referring to the death penalty. She also expressed her outrage at me for daring to say we could not entrust the hosting to her, insulted me roundly, accused me of a power grab, said this was not the Ramola D Show, and so forth. To which I responded it was not the Katherine Horton show either, re-iterating that we were most definitely a group show but we had some problems to deal with here as a group. So on it went.

I did also clarify that the issue for me was not really where the show was hosted, that is, at whose channel, but noted—with no special emphasis—that I believed (and Karen and Millicent concurred) that keeping it at mine had a certain value since I was running a media platform, as a journalist, a circumstance which could give the show a journalistic seal which I believed is of value. (A statement she has twisted on her Smear Video, I will address this further later.) I reminded her the real issues were weekly versus anything else and needing to address the primary problem of irresponsible talk of doing violence to others—which she continued, inexplicably, to deny.

I will spare readers here by not going into a blow by blow account of these acrimonious and heated emails, but they exist and have been perused and can be verified by other prominent activists and whistle-blowers we are in close contact with; Karen and Millicent were a part of the thread. Publishing these emails in full (apart from quoting) would require everyone's consent; I have no qualms about publishing mine, but there are three other people here and I am not currently asking.

Suffice to say that each return email I received from Katherine succeeded in misframing anything I said. The increasingly recalcitrant and combative tone of her emails was exemplified

in her imperious demand to the three of us to go through and find the parts in the videos where she had made statements espousing random violence, to “produce the evidence,” she said.

This has to be one of the more ridiculous things Katherine has said since we three are not the only ones to have flinched at her statements when she made them, to have noticed them, or to have been appalled by them. We certainly did not have the time to start coursing through videos, but we have not misremembered this at all.

(Since then, Thomas McFarlan has looked through the videos and identified a few time-markers where such statements were made:

Tech Crime Forum 66: Segment 1:33:00 - 2:00:02

Tech Crime Forum 70: Segment 1:46:41 - 1:52:00

Stop007 "Extreme Distress" video: Segments 3:15 - 18:00 / 21:50 - 26:30 / 37:33 - 39:51))

This was essentially a situation of impasse, as always, with Katherine, and she continued to espouse military violence (firing squads for Haspel and Giordiano) in her emails after my last email to her before Thursday explaining my own base understanding—guided by Martin Luther King—on the uselessness of violence at any time to end violence. In between she ran a lecture on free speech and defended her right to say anything she pleased, suggesting we were censoring her and bullying her, ignoring that we were striving to speak rather of show etiquette and joint agreement on focus, tone, and approach.

An additional stated source of contention to her was that of my “being present on every show” and therefore apparently engaging in a power grab; I reminded her that it was not that I was *present* but that I had *hosted and run* every show as a matter of commitment, despite many time constraints, after Paul and Mindy bowed out of hosting, and that she herself had enjoyed the convenience of my hosting it, so that she could pop in as she could, between her many travels.

In any case, I'm not covering it all. Suffice it to say that at some point we all realized Techno was royally dead and could not be resuscitated. Karen and Katherine exchanged emails examining the corpse. I stopped emailing. I spoke privately on a video meeting later that evening with both Karen and Millicent, with Karen only briefly since she had an interview. The next morning right up to one hour before the allotted time for Techno we continued to receive emails from Katherine questioning the value of public exposure of these crimes and stating that people like Ceasescu and Hitler had only been stopped with a bullet in the head. (“Do you really believe that multi trillion dollar projects are going to be pulled because there are web articles and a few YouTube videos on the crimes? If the military ever thought so then they would fire tweets, but they don't. They fire bullets and rockets. And they never failed to get the job done.” “By the way, Nicolae Ceasescu was an agent of the banking cartel, just like Gina Haspel. He had non-stop public exposure during his reign and it did diddly squat. Hitler had public exposure, so had Stalin. It was all worth jack. The only thing that ever did the job were executions. That's why the Mafia uses them, because they are the only thing that works on psychopaths.”)

(In my previous email I had reiterated my views about the importance of public exposure

versus the lunacy of violence: “Bullets through brains are not the answer, in my view, and public exposure is indeed the answer. It is not courage that puts bullets through brains: destruction is EASY, this is why thugs do it. Killing and assaulting people--which is what the CIA/FBI/NSA is doing to us, with stealth weapons--are the actions of cowards and criminals. Perhaps you need your own show where you talk about bullets through brains incessantly--the whole POINT of having a show like Techno was and is and has been public exposure. So if we disagree there, so be it. That is a FUNDAMENTAL difference in point of view.

“It is not our "holier-than-thou" attitude or swearing, either, which will stop the psychopaths. But continuous public exposure, the vanguard of a firm resistance, and the power of words, intention, and information to inform larger and larger masses of public who do indeed need to take firm action to stop the psychopaths (I mean round them up, prosecute and jail them). And change the laws.”)

By this point we had gotten the impression that Katherine did not care about the group opinions or interests or ideas, had disrespected and abandoned the group, and didn't care what we thought, felt, or requested. Throughout this contentious exchange she had continued to re frame our concerns as American prudery and indoctrination (“You are the most brainwashed nation on earth”) and questioned our very real remonstrances as attacks on her free speech, idle accusations without evidence, and bullying and ganging up on her (“You don't come along and start telling people that they have to change or can't say things because they clash with your views or the image you want to project. If you want to enforce homogeneity don't pick a free speech platform.”)

It is unimaginable for Katherine to say that she did not know Techno had ended: Karen and she had discussed this. Our group emails had simply petered out into an absolute impasse and there was nothing more to say. It is completely off-base for her to issue public notifications that I took a decision on my own to end Techno. I sent out a meeting invite to Karen and Katherine before the hour—primarily to honor the time slot of Techno and to discuss whether we should announce that Techno had ended on our own, the three of us, or try to make that announcement with Katherine. It would be an understatement to say that we were not all feeling devastated by what had just transpired, over the space of the last few days. We could not envision Katherine coming on with us and not continuing the acrimony on air and continuing to deny what she had spent several emails to deny, hence the decision to not send her a meeting invite. This had certainly ended badly, and I personally—being the one she primarily directed her ire against, and the one repeatedly verbally battered, framed, and abused—was neither interested in working with her any further in any way, shape, or form nor in having her on set myself. But I would like to point out that the decision to not invite her was a threefold one, not mine alone. It was a group decision to strive to honor the accomplishments of Techno and end with gratitude and grace, as much as we could, chalking the end up to ideological differences, which was certainly true.

Please also note that none of us saw this as an “episode” or “the last show.” This was only a joint video note from the three of us—who had already been disrespected and abandoned as a group by Katherine-- to honor the regular time slot and mark the end of Techno for viewers (so they would not wonder what had happened that day to the show). The actual last episode of

Techno, which we did not know at the time, was Episode 71, on July 26, 2018. Techno had begun as TI Forum at Pineconeutopia with Dr. Paul Marko and Mindy Urken on March 17, 2017.

Lies and Slander Aimed at Discrediting the Work & Words of a Journalist

While it is most certainly tedious and annoying to have to address the many accusations and confabulations Katherine has published on her video, I am taking the time to do so because she has engaged in massive defamation of my work as a journalist—which I have been engaged in long before she came onto the public scene—and I think it is very important to address the false allegations, for the sake of the authenticity of the disclosure on Surveillance and Military Crimes Against Humanity that I and others—including the NSA, CIA, and FBI whistleblowers as well as scientists and engineers and reporting victims and activists who have been gracious and extending enough to have done interviews with me—are engaged in here.

Ego Clashes, Power Grabs, Singlehandedly Blowing up Techno, Taking Drastic Action Without Discussion, Unilateral Decisions to Exclude: The recurring theme in Katherine's video is her accusation that I "singlehandedly blew up Techno" by blowing up on email on her suggestion of weekly hosting at her channel—and took drastic action to break up our group. The emails were indeed pretty heated, from my end too, yet never as aggressive and rude and combative as hers and do not exactly portray a blow-up from my end. People who have now read the emails note that it was she who blew up in a reactive way to my emails, and also note the abusive nature of her communications to Millicent and me in particular.

This is from a note Karen left on Facebook to similar accusations—currently flying fast and furious from the planted and paid cyber-trolls on Youtube and Facebook seeking to add to Katherine's attempt to destroy my public name and reputation as a writer and journalist—that I am a “plant” or “perp”:

“Dr. H. had started stepping over the line calling for violence against the perps OUTSIDE the law. We tried to gently reign her back but she was in denial. We have now found the examples of it to point ppl to. Ramola is no perp, she is more mature and responsible than many people and her brilliant journalistic work will go down in history. No one has done more for the TI community. Dr. H. would have been similar but for this incident. Sometimes ego is not worth it, we just have to suck it up, take responsibility and say “I’m sorry”. The decision was discussed as to whether to include her in the last TCF sign off, very reluctantly we realized it would just be an on air fight. No one is happy how this went into the toilet.”

Karen also left a message on her Facebook page to explain things from her perspective, and recently published this note on Youtube:



Sometimes shows, companies, families reach an impasse. It is best to part and start new directions. Yes, I advocated the death PENALTY for Deep State tyrants who instated this seditious, traitorous dishonest program of "war crimes against humanity" for profit and control. It is genocide no less serious than any other genocide. There is a huge difference in advocating for a penalty already on the books and defined as appropriate legal punishment for heinous crimes and advocating abandoning law and civilization and just killing people. HUGE DIFFERENCE. In my estimation, we are not there yet and hope we never are. There is the moral difference and there is the social difference whereby you lose any support you might have gained from decent people just unaware of the situation, and there is the logistical difference in that using a gun, theoretically against all police, all military, all "security"... is far beyond affective. Plus it totally dismisses your grievances, and ALL THE REST of the grievances you will be seen to represent... meaning ALL THE SUFFERING AND GRIEVANCES OF ALL THE OTHER VICTIMS, if you are smeared as a violent nutcase without real cause for the outburst. NO ONE WILL CARE what set you off. Believe me, I am not a pacifist. Give me a FAIR fight. But we are NOT in a FAIR FIGHT and that is how the cowards like it, and they control the authorities by bribery or leading the 1-dimensional fools by their ignorant noses. I pointed out to Dr. H., that all three of us thought she went too far to be wise, and that none of us had any more reason to "pick" on her than anyone else, and were merely trying to get her to roll back the rhetoric to a safe level. She preferred the power grab narrative to which I told her that was not valid in my opinion. I suggested she take the 2-3 weeks Techno was not on, and create an offshoot to showcase her work alone, with some guests or panelists of her own choosing and make her own rules. I personally, was careful not to express my support of the death penalty in light of a proper judicial action ad nauseum, since Dr. Black and Ramola are dedicated pacifists and one needs to respect other team members. There IS room for debate. As far as the cursing, our concerns were certainly not as grave as with the advocating of extra judicial violence, but there was a concern for professionalism, decorum, and an American audience that would judge by that and not content. Not swearing, would NOT have cost is one single European audience member in comparison. I think that was a battle Dr. H. fought that was not worth the cost, ultimately. But, she decided it and the other topic of contention was worth the risk of disbanding the group. We were at an impasse. Bringing her on, would likely have degenerated into a rehashed argument as we had made no progress. We had an audience waiting and no solution reached in regard to compromise or even the possibility of compromise. It was a painful and awful choice. It is sad to end something like this, but I think we all will continue this fight for humanity, and other projects will grow out of this fertile ground. Maybe us, maybe others. The community has an immense amount of talent and now, especially, determination. We as a community, will continue this fight. Take a deep breathe, see what comes. We are sorry to disappoint but sometimes painful change brings about a new direction that was needed to not stagnate. The Founding Fathers almost threw in the towel regarding the Declaration of Independence... but then they sat back and prayed.

Karen Stewart • 59 minutes ago (edited)



“Actively Malicious”: Supposedly, not content with power grabs and takeovers, in Katherine's confabulation of how Techno came to an end, I then embarked on a campaign of active malice by speaking “behind her back” with Melanie and Mindy about what had transpired. The absurdity of this is astounding.

Melanie and I have kept in touch with each other from several months ago; I have been intimately involved in covering her story in relation to the “Medical Kidnap” and abduction of her baby last October by Erasme Hospital in Belgium. As a leading activist in Europe, she has kept me informed on all things ICATOR, of which I have become a member; we have become friends and share information on our personal lives on our many Skype calls and texts. I informed her about what has happened, certainly, expressing my own views and private assessment of Katherine in the process—I rather think this is natural, to share information with a friend after an upsetting incident has occurred.

For Katherine to then say I was sabotaging European scanning projects and her work with Melanie etc. is slightly mind-bending; I personally would not work further with Katherine and said so on our joint Video Note on August 1, and said so to Melanie as well, about my own HR activism interests going forward—with full disclosure, having shared all my misgivings about Katherine many months earlier—but Melanie is her own person and runs her own HR organization in Brussels and makes her own decisions. While I reserve the right to publicize my own experience and offer my own counsel to anyone about anything based on my own experience, that is neither active malice nor sabotage, it is the free expression of opinion, a basic and natural human right.

Similarly with Mindy, co-founder of Techno with Dr. Paul Marko, with whom I have kept in touch via email and Skype, and whom I have appreciated speaking and discussing matters with, again, a very important friend with core connections to Techno who knew all of us, and who could understand what was happening here with compassion and sincerity. Interestingly, our Skype conversations that last week were continuously cyber-hacked and interfered with in real time, in much the same ways that Techno's broadcasts on Google Hangouts were continuously cyber-hacked, particularly when Millicent or Karen or I were speaking (I don't believe Katherine was ever cyber-hacked, incidentally, the way we 3 were while we were on Google Hangouts); someone in the deranged Intel world of cyber-hacking clearly did not wish for me and Mindy to be in contact and speak freely. Regardless, we were able to visit; she conveyed her sympathies and best wishes to all on new ventures, as I relayed on our Video Note of August 1. These too were conversations of friendship and kind support, nothing more.

“An Intel-Set-Up Break-Up; Not Recognizable as My Colleague; Not Really Ramola; Ramola was Neurotech'd to Bits and Fully Taken Over”: It's lucky I have a good sense of humor, because my first response to hearing this massive platter of slander on Katherine's video (after my eyebrows descended from the ceiling) is to fall over laughing.

It's no laughing matter, certainly, in a certain light, given the fact and the actuality of powerful stealth neuroweapons which include mass brain-affecting ELF transmissions via HAARP and GWEN towers and cell towers as well as plain old-fashioned Black Ops technology from the

Black Ops Brigade over at Langley and Pentagon City (or Dulce and Montauk) where the real Men in Black stamp Top Secret on the Portable Manpads, all of which no-one knows too much about, except through dozens of public-domain patents, whistleblower and scientist testimony, and the reported experience of hundreds of victims of Neuro/DEW experimentation, who report especially the effects of Neurotech on their immediate families, remote-influenced to disbelieve victims, or manipulated into sudden outbursts of rage, fear, or other extreme emotions (See the patents on manipulation of the nervous system, brain and behavior: http://www.usa-anti-communist.com/ard/US_PatentedMindControl.php); the entire Manchurian Candidate phenomenon, the mass shooters, the inflated numbers of suicides, the rise in depression can all be understood better from the vantage-point of this Remote Neural Influence Neuro Technology.

It is very true that we are living in the Age of Covert Neural Influence or Mind Control, and the 0.1% who have been working on Neuro and Behavior Modification from Tavistock times are still hard at it and seeking to manipulate us all at the neuro-level; I know, I have been researching and writing and speaking about these matters for four years. Weaponized Neuroscience (invasively and nonconsensually modifying the brain) is now entering the public-domain, as James Giordiano's lecture at Lawrence Livermore Laboratories in August 2017, From Bench to Battlefield openly reveals. His other earlier lecture in 2013 on Predictive Neuroscience, Facts, Fears, and Fictions, detailing the use of neuro-imaging technologies in neuro-surveillance and “predictive policing,” offers a glimpse into another aspect of weaponized neuroscience: Law Enforcement interest in invasively peering into brains, pigeonholing brains, and Delgado/Cameron-style *modifying* brains under self-righteous pretensions of attending to Violent Extremism, and Potential for Crime. Neuro-influence technology is indeed being used on humans today—by the Covert Intel Suppression-of-Reality crowd who insistently imagine—being Omniscient no doubt--they can control Everyone sneakily through Silent Brain Entrance and keep these invasive horrors secret forever.

But it is remarkably insidious to suggest that there were no real issues here on the Techno team, and that one member of the team who returned group attention to the key extant issues with Techno on an email thread cannot be taken seriously because she was “taken over,” and suddenly neurotech'd to oblivion and turned into an Intel-handled monster who was absolutely unrecognizable as her previously sane colleague. It is salutary to note as well that when Katherine says this, she also points to and seeks to underline my “victim” status as a clear token of disempowerment, repeating that I am a *victim*, who is “*chipped*” (and that her donation of a RFID bug detector has indeed established this), and therefore not to be blamed, since I (by virtue of being chipped, apparently) was essentially *mentally disabled* and *had no private defences*, and was so profoundly “taken over.” I believe she *forgives* me as well, in this masterpiece of sleight of hand where she gets rid of the opposition in short order by crying Mind Control! Not to Blame! Wasn't her really! Lost her marbles! Taken over!

Which in itself is a huge mockery and exaggeration of the very real fact of people in society today, in 2018, in this new Delgado-to-Obama Millenium of the Brain, indeed being subjected to Remote Neural Influence Technologies.

But it is also a very specific public and defamatory attack on my integrity as a person, my cognitive and analytical skills, my discernment and thinking processes, my sovereignty as a thinking and feeling human being who just happens to be working publicly in this space as a journalist.

Katherine has detailed an elaborate drama of just having posted her affidavit template online and just having met and spent eight hours speaking with former Assistant Secretary of Housing and financial whiz Catherine Austin Fitts as the causative backdrop to a confabulation of Intel swooping in to destroy Techno and her team by the lightning-swift mental take-out of a certain sadly chipped and victimized team member whom she at some point in her video strives to bury further by saying “claims to be a journalist.”

Not merely is this incredibly reprehensible and a clear way of evading responsibility for her own problematic actions and words brought under scrutiny, it is an Attack-the-Messenger act of targeting which fails to acknowledge the steely core of my character and persistent caliber of my work as a highly self-motivated and spiritually-empowered writer and journalist, who has not flinched from revealing a wide variety of incredibly evil Crimes Against Humanity which continue to be committed by Deep State criminals on millions of us.

I would like to point out as well that the appeal to “being neurotech'd” is an appeal to disavow of responsibility, to attribute the actions of someone to other-than-self, an invitation to consider this someone void of personal will, motivation, energy, awareness, intent, or ability, an invitation indeed to consider this person *incapable of resistance*. Contrary to this projection, I don't in any way disavow responsibility for anything in the emails I sent to Katherine and the rest of the team that week, I stand by every word I wrote and stance I took, I have not changed my mind or point of view-- which has been built up over months of interaction, not dropped into my head overnight by a fictitious Intel-handler—and I consider myself in full control of my own mental prowess—evidenced, I would like to point out to naysayers (who love to say “You too have this tech on you!/We're all being mind-controlled!”) by the fact that I have been working rather productively for four years in this space as a writer, interviewer, researcher, reporter, and journalist, work which requires, at a minimum, focus, attention, and intellect. And steady persistence despite all odds!

Unlike Katherine, I will not let her off the hook here and return in kind (by suggesting *she* was obviously neurotech'd to bits and taken over when she was making that Smear video), I will submit instead, from everything I have learned so far, that it appears to me rather that Katherine is a highly sophisticated paid infiltrator and agent provocateur, whose attempted takedown of my intellect and reputation and dismissal of my journalism with those words was intentional.

All this hoopla and character-assasination succeeding my suggestion to move Techno from weekly to not-weekly does raise the spectre of Intel actually being quite interested in continuing Techno on a weekly basis with her calling for beheadings at the helm, and one has to wonder why exactly that would be.

Melanie's Baby: "I Was Neurotech'd to Bits, I Couldn't Stop Myself": Of course, this was not the first time we have heard from Katherine the line about being neurotech'd to bits, she famously used this about herself in connection with the utter disaster of Melanie's newborn being removed from her care less than twenty-four hours after the birth in October 2017, shortly after Katherine spoke to a midwife and, in full volition, without being asked, offered up the information, out of medical concern, she said, that a portion of a foreign object—which she had scientifically analyzed—was still residually retained in Melanie's throat, and might obstruct her breathing, information that Melanie had hoped and intended to keep confidential. (The story of this foreign object was covered in a podcast and article at Alfred Webre's NewsInsideout.com, where a patent for a rather deadly and clearly inhumane military bioweapon, a self-assembling nanotechnology DARPA/Motorola strangulator implant is also published, information provided for consideration by researcher and investigator Dr. Stanninger.)

Katherine also reported at the time that since the midwife responded with apparent surprise and ignorance to this confidence, she then proceeded to provide extensive information on the many different kinds of implants that Intel agencies clandestinely plant in people's bodies today, including cochlear and other implants which she said could put voices in people's heads, and offered up other information about her work doing scanning of reporting victims with an RF detector and finding implants, and her work as a lead investigator on a criminal investigation team and much more.

The midwife responded by informing the gynecologist of this conversation, and the information contained in it, out of medical concern, *she* said; shortly after, Erasme psychiatrists swooped in and removed the baby, subjected Melanie to a train of indignities and abuses which included dragging her in the middle of the night to another hospital for a secondary mental evaluation and locking her in a Psychiatric ward for a week, and more. (This was covered extensively and can be researched further from JIT press releases and articles at my site, everydayconcerned.net.)

As this story of extreme injustice played out—culminating in the baby being removed to a children's home where Melanie is currently permitted only half-hour visits per week—we on the Techno team supported both Katherine and Melanie with great compassion and sensitivity given the absolute horror of what had happened. We did ask Katherine what had really happened, and her answer regarding any queries as to how or why she would inundate an unschooled-in-Black-Ops-implantation-affairs midwife with so much sudden information about implants et al always was: "I was neurotech'd to bits to keep on talking, I couldn't stop myself."

This disavowal of responsibility has always sat thinly with me, and does raise many questions, given what most people targeted extrajudicially by the power-grabbing Surveillance State know, regarding the use of the Mental Health excuse to cover up all report of implantation or assault with Neuro/DEWS, and regarding the incredible ignorance (or collusiveness) especially of doctors, psychiatrists, and hospital staff in relation to covert implants, Intel agency abuse, DEWs and military neuro-experimentation.

Melanie's Baby: “I got her off the psych drugs myself by shouting and demanding they listen.” During the time that this saga unfolded, I was in rather close touch with Katherine, often Skyping with her when she called from Brussels, and podcasting with her once on Alfred's show and later on Techno, as she continued to report and I continued to write up JIT press releases detailing the story as it developed. I also called later and spoke to staff at the hospital and to Melanie when she was in the Psychiatry Ward. Throughout, I was, like everyone else on the team, extremely affected and upset by the situation and tried to do everything I could do to help.

The part of the story that Katherine has not revealed as she has striven to establish that she has helped rather than hurt this situation is that when she found out Melanie had been dosed with anti-psychotics, she conveyed this information to me, very much along the lines of fatalistically, “And now they have started to give her psych drugs!” reporting that Melanie was appearing drugged and disaffected as a result. As soon as I heard this, I said instantly she needed to make a very strong protest immediately, involve Melanie's father as well, and that they both needed to make a stand and demand that the drugs be stopped; I informed her that by US law people cannot be involuntarily or forcibly put on psychiatric drugs without Informed Consent unless there was a mental diagnosis. I did not know the European law on this subject but proceeded to research this subject and sent her links. I also advised her that as she was now effectively Melanie's patient advocate that she needed to make a very strong stand and not take No for an answer, she should mention US law and demand Melanie be taken off the drugs immediately.

Katherine reports that she did indeed make a fuss, to such impressive effect that Melanie was indeed taken off the drugs by the nurse. This is commendable, but to suggest these actions of hers should somehow establish—as she has suggested in this video—proof that she cannot *therefore* be an infiltrator and saboteur who just may have played a star role in destroying Melanie's and her baby's life, is not clear to me, especially given my own part in this, which she never mentions.

“Journalistic Seal”: I have addressed this earlier, but wanted to mention that the way Katherine has presented this notion in her video is once again an issue of misframing. Our primary issue with the idea of her hosting Techno at her channel in our absence was the issue of unaddressed and unresolved talk of non-judicial violence; she reframed this to say the primary issue was a stubborn attachment I had to hosting the show at my channel, and suggested that I had become recalcitrant about this, presenting the “journalistic seal” story immediately as my rationale for this attachment.

This is patently untrue. When Katherine first offered to host at her channel, I clarified immediately that I was not making an issue of the hosting, but seeking to address the periodicity of the show. In ensuing discussion I noted—quite tangentially, and not as a primary point of any kind—that since I was building my channel as a media platform, I believed there was a value to hosting at my channel, since it offered a seal of media enterprise, and would benefit from my seal on the show as a journalist and writer. I had to clarify later that this did not mean personal branding as she instantly accused me of, but rather placement within a

media space.

I also want to note, for historic purposes, that when Paul stopped hosting the show at his channel, he and Katherine both encouraged me to host the show at my channel, primarily to build the channel, which I had only recently started. Katherine did indeed host the show at her channel on days I was too cyber-hacked to do so, and not once did I suggest, even on this email exchange, that the show necessarily needed to be hosted at my channel for posterity. The issue was always, through and through, that of hosting on her channel while unresolved issues of talk-of-extra-legal-violence lay between us.

“I Threw Her Out of JIT”: On her video, Katherine states with apparent relish that in response to this mythical and nonexistent, “unilateral” and “singlehanded” decision and “drastic action” of mine to blow up Techno as well as exclude her from our video note livestream on August 2, she threw me out of JIT.

The fact of the matter is, the steady onslaught of rude and combative emails that entire week had been abrasive enough that I was compelled to conclude I could no longer work with her and said so on-air on our Video note livestream.

On August 2, after the livestream, Katherine sent us an email, addressing me in this: “I was shocked to hear that you cannot work with me anymore. That is ok though. Then let's not work together on the Joint Investigation Team either because that would be too tough on you, I'm sure.”

I responded: “I don't see how you could imagine you could send me such incredibly disrespectful and combative emails and then think I could continue working with you. You are correct about the JIT, I am withdrawing from it -- I cannot work with people who are not supportive or respectful of my work.”

And that's the story of that.

The Start of Techno, Who Introduced Who, Who Started Techno: On her video Katherine addressed my expressed uncertainty in memory as to the sequence of who met who and how Techno started by stating rather definitively that *she* remembered, she had met Dr. Paul Marko and introduced me to him, after which he interviewed me, suggesting a primary role that she had played in both my interview and my being on Techno.

This is not true. Dr. Eric Karlstrom was the one who introduced me by email to Dr. Paul Marko, who interviewed me shortly after. I had sent off the Memo to Trump around that time, a letter I had put together with Katherine and Karen, and had been retaliated against rather extremely, with severe DEW attacks which left me ill for quite a few weeks—our first interview date was postponed and I kept the second date, despite still being somewhat ill.

Katherine, who had done podcasts and her pyramid/psychopath videos earlier, and whom I had met after her interview with Richie Allen, through other TI activists, had started the JIT around that time, which Karen, Millicent, and I had joined and when Dr. Marko and Mindy

broached the idea of a conference-call-style podcast we were all pleased to be on it. As Mindy shared with me recently, the whole idea for a weekly show had come from her (Mindy's) conceptualizing. The name itself came out of brainstorming lists from Karen, and was originally called TI Forum.

Abandoning Crime Victims by Not Doing a Weekly Show: One comment or aspersion rather that Katherine made was that I had somehow abandoned targeting crime victims by dropping Techno weekly or even wanting to, and that I had especially abandoned Millicent and opened her to further ravages by the deranged USAF veteran who continues to cyber-stalk and BCI-chip/satellite assault her by not offering her a weekly space of support and solidarity.

Considering that I continue to do podcast and print interviews with those targeted as well as whistleblowers and scientists, in addition to other articles probing issues of Surveillance and Military experimentation, I am not sure how this could be levelled at me. And in regards to Millicent, with whom I keep in touch on the phone regularly, it was not I but Katherine who revealed Barrett Wolf's real name (this was his alias in the article I wrote on Millicent's case) without her permission, causing her much grief and intensified attacks on her knees in aftermath. (I do not at all mean to suggest that criminals perpetrating these crimes should not be called out and named; but rather that it was not I here who was not cognizant and protective of the special situation and special request of Millicent.)

Free Speech: I resist utterly the notion that Katherine has put out in her video that my and our desire to curtail talk of violence on the show or cut back on swearing was in any way an attack on her free speech. It was rather, as I have said earlier, a matter of collective etiquette and joint agreement on focus, tone, and approach. I would think any group doing anything together would have discussions on such aspects. None of us has ever censored the other in matters of content; we have indeed indulged our right to free speech extensively, in a time-period when hundreds of millions are keeping their minds closed and their mouths shut—especially about Surveillance Abuse and Military Crime--including well-paid CIA media.

Echo-Stalking, Mirroring, & Neuro Linguistic Programming: I have learned after intensive discussion with many and in particular ex-CIA Whistleblower Barbara Hartwell who reports she was trained in these and other programming techniques as a trained hypnotherapist while in the CIA, that the echo-stalking I describe is termed mirroring and could relate to Neuro Linguistic Programming, a cultic manipulation of people using modeling techniques to induce behavior change and map behavior, and used in Scientology, Tony Robbins' movement and other Human Potential Movements, as well as connected to CIA Behavior Modification programs. I am of course personally interested in finding out more, after this experience, and will research further.

End Notes

I have worked with Katherine for a long time despite all of the many underlying issues including my increasing observation of her mirroring actions and words. I have loved her as a friend and cared for her as a sister target of Intelligence and Military weaponized crime. I have

admired her powerful voice as a speaker, her clarity and her incisiveness in analysis, and have continued to work with her within our group and partnership structures for the sake of the very important fight I thought we were in together. For all the reasons I have spelled out here and more, I personally no longer trust her and question what she is doing. Much has not been said in this too-long statement of the Real Backstory behind the break-up of Techno. Perhaps I will say it all another time.

For now, to all those who will still choose to see this entire break-up as a Divide and Conquer game played on us by Intel, I will only say that things that don't work sometimes do come to natural and necessary ends. Like many of us, I happen to be both level-headed, analytical, and intuitive; I am not misremembering the many occasions and incidences of echo-stalking I was treated to from Katherine; it raises the ultimate red flag for me. Unlike many in the spiky world of TI activism, I do not seek to unite or work with infiltrators or disruptors who derail, disrupt, delay, and slowly, inch by inch, in a steady downward spiral of destruction, dissolve activism movements. There are many of these in our midst, and I, like other well-known TI activists have pinpointed a few and distanced myself from them.

I will shortly write an op-ed on The Consequences of Infiltration to explain why I think infiltration among the targeted Untouchables of today's Global Occupation is particularly reprehensible. This will probably go hand in hand with another op-ed addressing how targets being tortured in broad daylight have been fully disappeared by highly-credentialed, well-known if not glamorously famous journalists, physicians, and law-enforcers telling lies: Targets: Modern Lepers whom Mainstream Media Mocks.

I am presenting this information comprehensively so others can draw their own conclusions just as I have drawn my own.

Cyber-Hacking While Writing This

As well as Cyber-Eating, Cyber-Trolling, Planes, Drones, Helicopters, Crashing Trucks, Power Mowers, Power Trimmers, Heart & Private Part Hitters, ELF's, Infrasound, Microwaves

On 8/11, after steadily working on this statement for days, I woke to find the latest version wiped from my laptop and corrupted on my flash drive. Interestingly, not all copies and versions had been wiped, so I was able to procure an earlier version and rewrite the three pages I had lost—also no doubt a trick of the Neural Mapping Brigade who are constantly eating e-mails and paragraphs and compelling repeat writings of the same material while they no doubt sit in back rooms and engage in neurolinguistic analysis like maniacs—or get their AI supercomputers to do the same. In addition, the file seemed to be corrupted each time in smaller ways, with Japanese and other typographic characters introduced at places.

(泥 o you really believe

I Threw Her Out of JIT ♦ On her video, Katherine states with apparent relish that in response to this mythical and nonexistent 磁 nilateral ♦ and 都 inglehanded ♦ decision and 電 rastic action ♦ of mine to blow up Techno as well as exclude her from our video note livestream on August 2, she threw me out of JIT.

The attention this room, house, and street (Pine Street in Quincy, Massachusetts) has received the last few days as I worked on this, with nonstop single-engine plane, helicopter, and crashing trucks and zooming cars has been astounding. Once it sounded like a fighter jet was taking off in my backyard. Numbers of people dressed in red and crossing my path on a walk. Extreme attacks on my person with heart-hits and private-part hits, nonstop. I relay this information to convey I am experiencing a definite sense that the (Mafia) Intel Behemoth here which is assaulting people unlawfully under cover of Surveillance apparently did not want me to write this. Again, we have to ask ourselves why that would be.

Something many of us know who have awakened to the reality of the Global Occupation is that the black-magic and negative-energy Controllers who consider themselves *supreme* like to play both sides, and like to establish layer upon layer of limited hangouts and controlled opposition. Two covert communications placed in my path recently, as the email exchange between us seemed to heat up the Uninvited Readers of Private Emails Brigade, for your rumination: On the back of a van: OUR Revolution; and in front of me as I drove, a Pest-Control van with the tag line: The Future of Pest Control is Here.

In closing, I prefer to believe that the 9-million pound Supreme Gorilla we're all facing down here is not going to win, that our combined and continuing efforts will indeed pay off and the entire world will indeed awaken by way of simple truth-telling and continued exposing to conscience, action, and positive energetic change. Techno has ended but the authentic activists among us will stay the course and make that change happen. Stay tuned.